

An Asian Journal of Soil Science



DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/11.1/159-165

Research Article

Effect of organic manures on growth and yield of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4 under Konkan region of Maharashtra

R.C. GAJBHIYE, S. P. SALVI AND S. N. PAWAR

Received: 05.03.2016; Revised: 12.04.2016; Accepted: 08.05.2016

MEMBERS OF RESEARCH FORUM:

Corresponding author: R.C. GAJBHIYE, Regional Fruit Research Station, Vengurle, SINDHUDURG (M.S.) INDIA Email: rcgajbhiye@yahoo.com

Summary

An experiment on the effect of organic manures on growth and yield of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4 under Konkan region of Maharashtra was conducted under AICRP-Cashew programme during 2011-12 to 2014-15 at Regional Fruit Research Station, Vegurle-416 516, dist. Sindhudurg (M.S.). Uniform eight months old grafts of cashew (V-4) were planted at 7m x 7m during 2008. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and eight treatments (T₁-100 % N as FYM, T₁ + biofertilizers consortium (BCF) (200g/tree), T₃-50% N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate, T_4 - 100% N as vermicompost + BCF (200g/tree), T_5 recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20 per cent cow dung slurry (20% weight of organic residue as cow dung slurry), T_c- In situ green manuring/green leaf manuring to meet 100% (retain litter + planting cowpea) T_7 - 25% N as FYM + recycling of organic residues + Insitu green manuring/green leaf manuring + BCF (200g/tree) and T₈- control- recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM). All the observations were recorded at appropriate stages. Similarly uniform package of practices were simultaneously followed. The data were statically analyzed as per procedure. Among the different organic manures tested, application of 50% N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate (T₃) recorded maximum number of panicles/m² $(17.53/m^2)$ followed by T_q – control i.e. recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM ($16.58/m^2$) and T₆ - In situ green manuring/green leaf manuring to meet 100% (retain litter + planting cowpea) (16.32/m²). While, application of recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (T_ocontrol) recorded significantly the maximum mean pooled yield of 4.8 kg/tree and superior over the rest of the treatments.

Co-authors:
S.P. SALVI AND S. N. PAWAR, Regional
Fruit Research Station, Vengurle,
SINDHUDURG (M.S.) INDIA
Email: salvisidhesh@rediffmail.com;
shalanpawar1@gmail.com

Key words: Cashew, *In situ* leaf manuring, Organic manures, Apple weight, Yield

How to cite this article : Gajbhiye, R.C., Salvi, S.P. and Pawar, S.N. (2016). Effect of organic manures on growth and yield of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4 under Konkan region of Maharashtra. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, **11** (1): 159-165: **DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AJSS/11.1/159-165.**

Introduction

Cashew is one of the important dollar earning crops of India. During 2012-13, with total production of cashew in the country was 7.28 lakh tonnes from 9.82 lakh ha of

land with a productivity of 772 kg/ha. Although Andhra Pradesh has the largest area under cashew, Maharashtra ranks first in both production and productivity (Anonymous, 2012). The productivity of cashew in the country is highest in Maharashtra. This is because of

the fact that in Maharashtra, major plantations have been established with high yielding varieties. In order to get better yield, it is essential to maintain adequate N:P:K ratio in the soil. Application of 10-15 kg of farmyard manure per plant is recommended to ensure adequate organic matter in the soil. The fertilizers recommended for a 4 year and onward age of cashew tree are 1000 g N (2.1 kg urea), 250g P₂O₅ (1.56 kg single super phosphate and 250 g K₂O (400 g muriate of potash). Integration of inorganic and organic nutrient inputs could, therefore, be considered as a better option in increasing fertilizer use efficiency and providing a more balanced supply of nutrients. Vanlauwe et al. (2002) reported that combination of organic and inorganic nutrient sources result into synergy and improved conservation and synchronization of nutrient release and crop.

Various studies have demonstrated that the cashew tree responds to the application of mineral nutrients, though the responses are significantly affected by plant age, the genotype utilized, the conditions of cultivation, soil and climate and of the crop management (Barros et al., 1984; Ghosh, 1990; Ximenes, 1995; Bezerra et al., 1999 and Crisóstomo et al., 2005). Organic materials (both organic manures as well as organic wastes) for improving the physical properties of soils that allows profitable crop production (Somani and Totawat, 1996). According to Ojeniyi (2000), published works on the organic manure use in Nigeria is rather scanty. The need to use renewable forms of energy and reduce costs of fertilizing crops has revived the use of organic fertilizers worldwide. Improvement of environmental conditions and public health are important reasons for advocating increased use of organic materials (Seifritz, 1992 and Maritus and Vlelc, 2001).

In most of the state cashew is found as a default organic crop in which no application of manure and fertilizers, this is also one of the reasons for low cashew production in the country. In our country, application of manures and fertilizers is very limited in the case of cashew. Under this situation, it is necessary to access the effect of different organic manures either alone or in integrations on growth, yield and quality of cashew. Hence, the trial was initiated on organic management of cashew was undertaken under AICRP-Cashew programme with an object to evaluate and standardize an organic management schedule for cashew cultivation to optimize the returns and to work out economic feasibility of organic farming systems over conventional farming.

Resource and Research Methods

An experiment on effect of organic manure on growth and yield of cashew initiated at Regional Fruit Research Station, Vengurle under AICRP-Cashew programme during 2007-08 to 2014-15 with an object to access the feasibility of different organic manures on growth and yield of cashew. The uniform eight months old grafts of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4 were planted at 7 x 7m during the year 2007-08. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and 8 treatments (T₁-100 % N as FYM, T₂-100% N as FYM + biofertilizers consortium (BCF) (200g/tree), T₂-50% N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate, T₄-100% N as vermicompost + BCF (200g/tree), T₅recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20 per cent cow dung slurry (20% weight of organic residue as cow dung slurry), T_c- In situ green manuring/green leaf manuring to meet 100 per cent (retain litter + planting cowpea) T₇- 25% N as FYM + recycling of organic residues + In situ green manuring/green leaf manuring + BCF (200g/tree) and T_g - control (recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM). The initial soil nutrient status of the experimental plot and treatment-wise soil nutrient status after harvest of crop was estimated. All the observations were recorded at appropriate stages. Similarly uniform package of practices were simultaneously followed. The data was statically analyzed as per procedure given by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The physical properties were estimated by adopting standard method as mentioned by Black (1965) and Piper (1966) and chemical properties were estimated by using Jackson (1973) and Bray and Kurtz (1945) as well as given by Subbiah and Asija (1956) and Tondon (1993). However, the available micro-nutrients were estimated by adopting standard method given by Lindsay and Norvell (1978).

Research Findings and Discussion

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads:

Initial soil characteristics:

Data presented in Table 1 indicated that the soil of the experimental site was lateritic clay loam in texture and moderately acidic (pH 5.40) in reaction and showed safe limit of electrical conductivity (0.08dSm⁻¹) for plant growth. Soil was high in organic carbon content, medium in available nitrogen content (300.20 kg ha⁻¹) and available phosphorus content (20.84 kg ha⁻¹). It showed moderately high content of available potassium (2168.80 kg ha⁻¹). As far as the micronutrients in soil were concerned, it indicated sufficient range of available iron (52.92 ppm), manganese (75.15 ppm), copper (2.98 ppm) and zinc (0.67 ppm) content. The similar finding in respect of initial soil properties of lateritic soil were also reported by Shinde et al. (2010).

Table 1: Ini	tial soil properties of the experimen	ıtal site
Sr. No.	Properties	Content
1.	pH (1:2.5)	5.40
2.	EC dS m ⁻¹	0.08
3.	MWHC %	42.0
4.	Bulk density Mgm ⁻³	1.19
5.	Particle density Mgm ⁻³	2.47
6.	Organic carbon g kg ⁻¹	14.80
7.	Available N kg ⁻¹	300.2
8.	Available K ₂ O kg ⁻¹	268.8
9.	Available P ₂ O ₅ kg ⁻¹	20.84
10.	Zn (ppm)	0.668
11.	Cu (ppm)	2.98
12.	Fe (ppm)	52.92
13.	Mn (ppm)	75.15

The various parameters such as flowering duration (days), number of lateral and panicles/m², number of fruit set/m², number of nuts/panicle, nut weight (g), apple weight (g), yield (kg/tree) and shelling (%) during the period under investigation (2011-12-01 to 2014-2015) were recorded, data were statically pooled analyzed and presented in Tables 1 to 4. Similarly, treatment-wise soil nutrient status estimated after harvest is given in Table 5.

Effect of organic manures on flowering duration and production of laterals and panicle/m²:

The four years pooled data (2011-12 to 2014-15) are presented in Table 2 which revealed that, the various organic treatments did not significantly affect the flowering duration and production of laterals/m² of cashew. However, the organic treatments significantly influenced the production of panicles/m² of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4. Treatment T₂ (50% N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate) recorded significantly

La	Table 2: Effect of organic manures on growth and floweri	ing and a	ttribute	s of cash	ew durin	dowering and attributes of cashew during the year 2011-12 to 2014-15	r 2011-L	2 to 201.	4-15							
Tre	Treatment details	Mea	Mean flowering duration (days)	ing dura ys)	tion	Pooled		Mean la	Mean laterals/m ²		Pooled	3	Mean flowering panicles/m2	owering es/m²		Pooled
		2011-	2012- 13	2013-	2014-	(4 years) 2011- 12	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	·	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	(4 years)
F	100 % N as FYM	116.70	116.70 109.40 103.50 109.70	103.50	109.70	109.82	28.17	29.80	25.83	25.50	27.32	16.33	16.33 15.33	15.08	15.58	15.58
T_2	100% N as FYM + biofertilizers consortium (BCF) (200g/tree)	119.80	119.80 110.10 105.30 110.33	105.30	110.33	111.37	30.08	30.08 31.07	24.92	27.58	28.41	17.67	17.67 15.03	15.17 16.25	16.25	16.03
Ľ	50% N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate	114.60	114.60 120.50 100.60 105.70	100.60	105.70	110.35	29.42	30.97	23.67	27.39	27.86	17.58	15.90	16.92	19.72	17.53
Ŧ.	100% N as vermicompost + BCF (200g/tree)		118.30 121.50 105.40 108.00	105.40	108.00	113.30	23.50	30.00	26.08	25.75	26.33	15.50	14.63	15.58	15.42	15.28
18	Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20 % cow cling slurry (20% weight of organic residue as cow cling slurry)		119.90 119.30 103.30 107.30	103.30	107.30	112.45	27.50	29.23	25.00	26.75	27.12	15.75	13.57	15.93	15.67	15.22
9 L	In situ green manuring/green leaf manuring to meet 100% (Retain litter +planting cowpea)	121.00	121.00 119.30 100.80 102.70	100.80	102.70	110.95	29.0	29.80	31.33	27.75	29.47	17.08	15.37	17.42	15.42	16.32
Ė	25% N as FYM + recycling of organic residues + <i>In situ</i> green manuring/green leaf manuring + BCF (200g/trcc)	120.40	107.00	98.40	120.40 107.00 98.40 102.30	107.02	27.25	30.80 26.00	26.00	26.67	27.68	14.75	15.53	15.33	16.58	15.54
Ĕ	Recommended dose of fertilizer +10 kg FYM (Control)	123.00	110.80	110.80 103.50 108.70	108.70	111.50	29.08	31.57	26.58	28.83	29.01	17.42	16.07	14.58	18.25	16.58
	S.E. =					1.88					0.76					0.48
	C.D. (P=0.05)					NS					SN					1.41
2	NS= Non-significant															

			Mean fruit set/m	nit set/m	2	Pooled	Me	Mean no. of nuts/panicle	nuts/par	nicle	Pooled		Mean n	Mean nut wt. (g)	_	Pooled
catı	Treatment details	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	Mean	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	mean	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	mean
		12	13	14	15	(4 years)	12	13	14	15	(4 years)	12	13	14	15	(4 years)
Ē	100 % N as FYM	22.6	39.4	20.2	44.9	31.7	2.9	14.5	9.6	8.5	7.9	8.0	8.2	7.8	8.8	8.2
Ľ.	100% N as FYM + hinfertilizers consortium (RCF) (200g/tree)	30.6	40.3	33.8	46.4	37.7	4.1	14.2	5.6	9.2	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.6	9.1	8.7
Ť.	50% N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate	23.2	37.1	31.9	48.0	35.0	2.6	14.7	5.1	9.3	6.7	9.1	8.2	8.5	8.8	8.6
	T ₄ 100% N as Vermicompost + BCF (200g/tree)	23.2	40.9	31.4	53.3	37.2	3.2	14.8	5.6	9.4	8.2	9.8	7.6	8.1	9.1	8.3
Ţ.	Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20 % cow dung slurry (20% weight of organic residue as cow dung slurry)	22.5	43.4	37.8	41.3	36.3	4.2	16.0	5.8	7.4	7.9	8.3	7.7	8.3	9.1	8.3
Ë,	In situ green manuring/green leaf manuring to meet 100% (retain litter +planting cowpea)	36.8	38.3	34.3	43.6	38.2	4.7	14.3	5.7	8.5	8.3	8:8	8.0	0.6	9.1	8.7
Ť.	25% N as FYM + recycling of organic residues + In situ green manuring/green leaf manuring + BCF (200g/tree)	25.8	40.2	40.4	47.9	38.5	3.4	15.7	4.5	8.4	8.0	9.1	83	8.2	8.9	9.8
90	T _s Recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (Control)	27.2	38.8	31.0	54.2	37.8	3.6	13.7	0.9	10.1	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.7	9.3	8.6
2550	S.E. ±					2.31					0.39					0.15
_	C.D. (P=0.05)					SN					NS					SN

Tab	Table 4: Effect of organic manures on yield parameters of cashew during the year 2011-12 to 2014-15	of cashe	w durin	g the ye	ar 2011-	12 to 2014	.15									
			Apple wt. (g)	wt. (g)		Pooled		Yield (kg/tree)	(g/tree)		Pooled		Shelling (%)	g (%)		Pooled
Trea	Treatment details	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	Mean	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	Mean	2011-	2012-	2013-	2014-	mean
		12	13	14	15	(4 years)	12	13	14	15	(4 years)	12	13	14	15	(4 years)
T	100 % N as FYM	63.1	63.5	65.1	8.06	70.6	3.2	2.9	2.0	3.1	2.8	29.8	29.5	29.8	29.0	29.5
\mathcal{I}_2	100% N as FYM + biofertilizers consortium (BCF) (200g/tree)	73.5	0.89	61.3	92.5	73.8	4.6	3.8	2.6	2.7	3.5	29.5	29.3	28.7	29.0	29.1
T.	50% N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate	8.92	64.3	63.4	0.06	73.6	3.5	2.7	2.1	4.3	3.1	28.5	28.5	28.5	29.5	28.7
T	100% N as vermicompost + BCF (200g/tree)	67.7	68.5	8.19	101.7	76.4	2.8	5.6	1.5	4.0	2.7	28.8	26.7	28.7	29.7	28.4
Ę	Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20% cow dung slurry (20% weight of organic residue as cow dung slurry)	71.9	70.1	72.5	93.3	76.9	2.0	2.0	Ξ.	3.1	2.1	29.8	29.5	29.2	29.2	29.4
$^9\mathrm{L}$	100 1000 0000	77.0	65.2	0.99	86.7	73.7	4.1	2.9	2.2	3.5	3.2	29.2	29.3	29.0	28.5	29.0
Τ,	14 9	70.5	63.3	73.3	6.30	76.3	2.8	3.7	1.5	4.7	3.2	28.8	29.3	28.7	29.3	29.0
$\stackrel{H}{\sim}$	(200g/tree) Recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (Contuol)	65.3	64.7	78.0	101.7	77.4	4.9	5.1	2.3	6.9	4.8	29.2	30.0	29.2	29.7	29.5
	S.E.±					2.59					0.34					0.29
	C.D. (P=0.05)					SN					1.02					SN

maximum number of panicles/m² (17.53/m²) and at par with treatment T_8 – control *i.e.* recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (16.58/m²) and T_6 - *In situ* green manuring/green leaf manuring to meet 100 per cent (retain litter + planting cowpea) (16.32/m²).

Effect of organic manures on fruit set/m², number of nuts/panicle and nut weight (g):

The data in respect of pooled mean on fruit set/m², number of nuts/panicle and mean nut weight showed non-significant results (Table 3). The non-significant results may be due to the experiment which was in initial stage and the availability of nutrients through organic source may require optimum period for their availability in the soil and further absorption of nutrient by the plant during establishment period of the plants.

Effect of organic manures on apple weight, yield and shelling (%) of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4:

The four years pooled data presented in Table 4 revealed that the application of various manures through both organic and inorganic sources significantly affect the yield of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4, however, did not showed significant results for apple weight and shelling (%). Results indicated that all the fertilizer materials positively and significantly (p>0.05) increased the yield considered.

Application of recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (control) recorded significantly the maximum mean pooled yield of 4.8 kg/tree and superior over the rest of the treatments. The present results might be due to cashew gives very good response to chemical

fertilizers. When the fertilizer given through inorganic source in the soil that lead to immediate and readily available of nutrients that further help in more photosynthesis and dry matter production that might be significantly increased the yield in T₈ treatment as compared to rest of the other organic manure treatments. The present results are in the line with findings of Suge et al. (2011) who reported that increasing the inorganic fertilizers from 50 per cent to 100 per cent research recommended rates leads to direct increased growth and yield and fruit quality parameters of eggplant. However, on contrary, Lal et al. (2012) reported that highest fruit yield (125.06 kg/tree) in soil application of vermicompost @ 75kg/tree, followed in application of poultry manure @ 75kg/tree (124.23 kg/tree), while the lowest was recorded in control (110.24 kg/tree).

In the present investigation the effect of application of organic manures on flowering duration, production of laterals, fruit set/m², number of nuts/panicle, nut and apple weight (g) of cashew cv. VENGURLA-4 was not significant (P<0.05) and was attributed to probably a slow rate of mineralization. The depression in values of yield of cashew in other organic treatments might be due to the fact that some of the nutrients applied to the soil were immobilized by soil micro-fauna, soil organic matter and other edaphic factors thereby making them unavailable to the cashew. This observation is consistent with the findings of Ibiremo *et al.* (2012).

Effect of organic manures on NPK status of soil after crop harvest:

The data presented in Table 5 revealed that, T₄

Tabl	e 5: Soil analysis of cashew under organic management trial at V	/engurle c	entre durin	g the year 2	014-15		
Treat	ment details	pН	EC	OC (%)	N (kg/ha)	P ₂ O ₅ (kg/ha)	K ₂ O (kg/ha)
T_1	100 % N as FYM	4.90	0.068	1.79	269.69 (L)	28.13 (H)	407.99(VH)
T_2	100% N as FYM + biofertilizers consortium (BCF) (200g/tree)	5.06	0.064	1.36	241.47 (L)	28.67 (H)	247.69
T_3	50% N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate	4.97	0.031	1.59	332.42 (M)	27.58(MH)	271.95(H)
T_4	100% N as vermicompost + BCF (200g/tree)	4.64	0.034	0.93	338.69 (M)	28.87(H)	253.52(H)
T ₅	Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20 % cow dung slurry (20% weight of organic residue as cow dung slurry)	4.93	0.026	0.19	268.71 (L)	29.97(H)	345.80(VH)
T ₆	In situ green manuring/green leaf manuring to meet 100% (retain litter +planting cowpea)	5.14	0.019	0.42	244.61 (L)	26.50(MH)	268.15(H)
T ₇	25% N as FYM + recycling of organic residues + <i>In situ</i> green manuring/green leaf manuring + BCF (200g/tree)	4.87	0.029	1.01	335.55 (M)	26.28(MH)	468.69(VH)
T_8	Recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (Control)	5.84	0.023	1.20	319.87 (M)	26.93(MH)	211.79(MH)
	S.E.±				6.98	0.479	12.00
	C.D. (P=0.05)				21.19	1.454	36.42

(100% N as vermicompost + BCF (200g/tree) recorded significantly maximum available soil N (338.69 kg/ha) and at par with T_7 (335.55 kg/ha), T_3 (332.45 kg/ha) and T_8 (319.47kg/ha) while, available P_2O_5 was found significantly maximum in T₅ (29.97 kg/ha) and at par with T_4 (28.87 kg/ha) and T_2 (28.67 kg/ha). Whereas, available K₂O recorded sinficantly maximum in T₇ (468.69 kg/ha) and superior over rest of the treatments.

Conclusion:

Among the different organic manures tested, application of 50 per cent N as FYM + BCF (200g/tree) + rock phosphate (T₂) recorded maximum number of panicles per m² (17.53/m²) followed by T_8 – control *i.e.* recommended dose of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (16.58/ m²) and T₆ - *In situ* green manuring/green leaf manuring to meet 100 per cent - retain litter + planting cowpea (16.32/m²). While, application of recommended dose of fertilizer +10 kg FYM (T_s-control) gave highest pooled yield of 4.8 kg/tree and showed its superiority over the rest of the treatments.

On the basis of the results obtained, it is clearly indicated that only the organic sources can not maintain instant flow of nutrients in increasing crop yield. There is need to use organic and chemical fertilizers in combination so as to increase crop productivity.

Acknowledgement:

The authors are grateful to the Director and Project Coordinators, Directorate of Cashew Research, Puttur, Karnataka and Director of Research, Dr. B.S. Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli for providing necessary funds, facilities and support for conducting the said trial on cashew.

Literature Cited

Anonymous (2012). Indian horticulture database 2012, National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Barros, L.M., Araújo, F.E., Almeida, J.I.L. and Teixeira, L.M.S. (1984). A cultura do cajueiro anão. EPACE, Fortaleza (EPACE Documentos 3).

Bezerra, F.C., Fragoso, H.A. and Hernandez, F.F.F. (1999). Avaliação do estado nutricional de cajueiro anão-precoce, clones CP-76 e CP-09. Rev. Bras. Frut., 21: 208-211.

Black, C.A. (1965). Method of soil analysis. Part-II Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc. Madison. WICONSIN U.S.A.

Bray, R.H. and Kurtz, L.T. (1945). Determination of total

organic and available form of phosphorus in soil. Soil Sci., 59 :39-44.

Crisóstomo, L.A., Rossetti, A.G., Pimentel, C.R.M., Barreto, P.D. and Lima, R.N. (2005). Produtividade, avaliação econômica, teores foliares de nitrogênio e potássio e atributos industriais de castanha em cajueiro anão-precoce adubado com doses crescentes de nitrogênio e potássio em cultivo sob sequeiro. In: Yamada T, Roberts TL (Eds), Potássio na Agricultura, Potafos, Piracicaba. pp. 823-831.

Ghosh, S.N. (1990). Studies on the NPK requirement of cashew (Anacardium occidentale L.) in lateritic tract of West Bengal. Cashew, 4:6-9.

Ibiremo, O.S., Akanbi, O.S.O., Oloyede, A.A. and Adebowale, L.A. (2012). Evaluation of NPK fertilizer formulations on the growth and dry matter yield of coffee seedlings in Ibadan, South-Western, Nigeria. Nigerian J. Soil Sci., 23: 22-26.

Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis- Prentice-Hall of India PVt. Ltd. New Delhi. pp. 134-182.

Lal, R. L., Mishra, D. S., Rathore, Nidhi and Chand, S. (2012). Effect of organic manures on fruit yield and quality of litchi cv. ROSE SCENTED. *Pantnagar J. Res.*, **10**(2): 256-258.

Lindsay, W.L. and Norvell, W.A. (1978). Development of DTPA soil test for zink, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci. Amer. J., 42 (3): 421-428.

Maritus, C.H.T. and Vlelc, P.L.G. (2001). The management of organic matter in tropical soils: What are the priorities? Nutr. Cycl. Agro Ecosyst., 61: 1-16.

Ojeniyi, S.O. (2000). Effect of goat manure on soil nutrient and okra yield in a rain forest area of Nigeria. J. Appl. Trop. Agric., 5: 20-23.

Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1985). Statistical method for agricultural workers. 4th Ed., ICAR Pub., NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Piper, C.S. (1966). Soil and plant analysis. Hans Publishers, Bombay (M.S.) INDIA.

Seifritz, W. (1992). Alternative and renewable sources of energy in optimizing yields. The role of fertilizers. In: Proceedings of 12th IPI Congress. pp. 155 – 163.

Shinde, Minal, Salvi, V.G., Dhane, S.S. and Sawant, Pooja (2010). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of okra grown in lateritic soils of Konkan. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ., 35(3): 466-469.

Somani, L.L. and Totawat, K.L. (1996). Soil conditioners and amendments. Agrotech Pub. Academy, Udaipur 1st Ed. pp. 28 – 160. Agboola and Omueti, 1985.

Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in the soil. Cur. Sci., 25(8): Suge, J.K., Omunyin, M.E. and Omami, E.N. (2011). Effect of organic and inorganic sources of fertilizer on growth, yield and fruit quality of eggplant (Solanum melongena L). Archiv. Appl. Sci. Res., 3(6): 470-479.

Tondon, H.L.S. (Ed.) (1952). Method of analysis of soil, plant, water and fertilizers. pp. 24-30, 58-62, FDCO, NEW DELHI, INDIA.

Vanlauwe, B., Diels, J., Sanginga, N. and Merckx, R. (2002). Integrated plant nutrient management in sub-saharan Africa: From concept to practice. CABI Publishing. Oxon, U.K. pp.

Ximenes, C.H.M. (1995). Adubação mineral de mudas de cajueiro anão precoce cultivadas em diferentes substratos. Fortaleza, Universidade Federal do Ceará. M.Sc. dissertation.

